

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/787,142 | 02/27/2004 | Bruce Elliot Kramer | SOAPI | 4632 |
| 7 | 590 09/22/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| BRUCE ELL 9112 CHERBO | IOT KRAMER OURG DR. | | OGDEN JR, I | NECHOLUS |
| POTOMAC, N | MD 20854 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1751 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(a) | // | | | | |
|--|---|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | ٤ | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/787,142 | KRAMER ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | Necholus Ogden | 1751 | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | bears on the cover sneet with the c | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F | ehruary 2004 | | | | | | |
| · · | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| _ | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · | • • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | • • • | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/787,142 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joshi (4,017,574).

Application/Control Number: 10/787,142

Art Unit: 1751

Joshi discloses a process for making a multicolored soap bar comprising multicolored soap bars of a variety of colors (col. 2, lines 25-col. 3, line 21) and antibacterial agents (example 3).

Joshi does not teach each of the colors in specific layers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to disperse the various colors in a specific layers as claimed because specific colors or layers have been held that matters relating to ornamentation or aesthetic design changes which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art In re Seid , 161 F.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947).

5. Claims 1, 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonnenberg et al (6,673,756).

Sonnenberg et al disclose a multiphase soap comprising two or more phases and each phase comprise different dyes (col. 27 and 28, claims 1-5). Sonnenberg et al further teach that said bar comprises an object for advertising purposes such as a toy of gold or similar materials (col. 21, lines 50-col. 22, line 16).

Sonnenberg et al do not teach each of the colors in specific layers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to disperse the various colors in a specific layers as claimed because specific colors or layers have been held that matters relating to ornamentation or aesthetic design changes which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art In re Seid , 161 F.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947).

Art Unit: 1751

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T and Th-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

No 9-19-04